An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver

Norman F. Foster

Applied Research Laboratory The Pennsylvania State University

Background

- High order numerics (5th order and higher) has become more common in research, and is beginning to appear in industrial uses of CFD.
- Overset gridding and overset solver techniques is one of several enabling technologies to evaluating flow field around complex (and moving) geometries.
- Use of overset is still a tool for "experts" (or researchers), but is slowly penetrating into industry

Purpose of the Presentation

- Ignite discussion of the often overlooked issue of interpolation accuracy and its effects with high order solvers
- Present interesting findings through simple, and not so simple, computational examples

Limitations of this Study

CFD on block structured overset meshes

(for use in FV/FD codes)

- Two solvers:
 - In-house Penn State code (PSU)
 - OVERFLOW 2.2 (OF)
- Overset domain connectivity determined using Suggar++ and read into the solvers using DiRTLib
- Explicit isoparametric Lagrangian interpolation method to determine donor weights



An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver



Isoparametric Lagrangian Interpolation (ILI) For non-equally spaced source points.

$$f(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} R_i(\delta) f(x_i) \qquad R_i(\delta) = \frac{(-1)^{N+i-1}}{[N-(i+1)]! \, i!} \prod_{l=0, l \neq i}^{N-1} (\delta - l)$$

where δ is found by minimizing the functional:

$$F(x_i, \hat{x}, \delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} R_i(\delta) x_i - \hat{x} = 0$$

But what about loss of accuracy???

Example: Interpolate a cubic function using uniform source grid



Example: Interpolate a cubic function using uniform source grid



Example: Interpolate a cubic function using quadratic-ly stretched source grid



Example: Interpolate a cubic function using quadraticly stretched source grid



Examination of 1D interpolation error using different...

Function			
Number	Equation		
1	f(x) = 10x		
2	$f(x) = 4x^2 + 10x$		
3	$f(x) = 0.2x^3 + 4x^2 + 10x$		
4	$f(x) = 0.6x^4 + 0.2x^3 + 4x^2 + 10x$		
5	$f(x) = 0.8x^{5} + 0.6x^{4} + 0.2x^{3} + 4x^{2} + 10x$		
6	$f(x) = 5e^{-x^2/16}$		
7	$f(x) = 5(\sin x)/x$		

function types,

donor stencils,



and interpolation methods.

Standard Lagrangian (SLI) $f(\hat{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} P_i(\hat{x}) f(x_i)$ $P_i(\hat{x}) = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{N-1} \frac{(\hat{x} - x_j)}{(x_i - x_j)}$ Isoparametric Lagrangian (ILI) $f(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} R_i(\delta) f(x_i)$ $R_i(\delta) = \frac{(-1)^{N+i-1}}{[N-(i+1)]! \, i!} \prod_{l=0, l \neq i}^{N-1} (\delta - l)$

An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver

Interpolation error from uniform source grid

Some observations:

For polynomial functions:

• N should be > function order

For non-polynomial functions:

• Error reduces with higher N

-					
		Isoparametric Lagrangian		Standard Lagrangian	
Basis Function Number	Stencil size, N	Maximum Difference Error:	Maximum Relative Error (%):	Maximum Difference Error:	Maximum Relative Error (%):
1	2	7.11E-15	1.89E-14	7.11E-15	1.89E-14
1	4	7.11E-15	2.11E-14	7.11E-15	2.11E-14
1	6	7.11E-15	2.19E-14	7.11E-15	2.19E-14
2	2	1.17E-02	5.50E-02	1.17E-02	5.50E-02
2	4	1.42E-14	3.32E-14	1.42E-14	3.32E-14
2	6	1.42E-14	3.95E-14	1.42E-14	3.95E-14
3	2	1.84E-02	1.67E-02	1.84E-02	1.67E-02
3	4	1.42E-14	1.86E-14	1.42E-14	1.86E-14
3	6	2.84E-14	3.07E-14	2.84E-14	3.07E-14
4	2	1.71E-01	6.95E-02	1.71E-01	6.95E-02
4	4	6.01E-05	4.14E-05	6.01E-05	4.14E-05
4	6	4.26E-14	4.43E-14	4.26E-14	4.43E-14
5	2	1.46E+00	1.54E-01	1.46E+00	1.54E-01
5	4	1.58E-03	1.68E-04	1.58E-03	1.68E-04
5	6	1.71E-13	3.66E-14	1.71E-13	3.66E-14
6	2	2.99E-04	1.44E-02	2.99E-04	1.44E-02
6	4	6.04E-07	2.91E-05	6.04E-07	2.91E-05
6	6	1.27E-09	5.48E-08	1.27E-09	5.48E-08
7	2	1.82E-03	2.10E-01	1.82E-03	2.10E-01
7	4	3.62E-06	4.19E-04	3.62E-06	4.19E-04
7	6	8.61E-09	9.97E-07	8.61E-09	9.97E-07

An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver

Interpolation error from quadratic source grid

Some observations:

For polynomial functions:

• Error reduces with higher N

For non-polynomial functions:

- Error reduces with higher N
- ILI sometimes better than SLI

What kind of function is a flow variable (i.e u,v,w,p,e, ρ u, ρ v)?

		Isoparametric Lagrangian		Standard Lagrangian	
Basis Function Number	Stencil size, N	Maximum Difference Error:	Maximum Relative Error (%):	Maximum Difference Error:	Maximum Relative Error (%):
1	2	7.11E-15	1.94E-14	7.11E-15	1.94E-14
1	4	1.00E-11	3.92E-10	1.07E-14	3.16E-14
1	6	1.00E-11	3.92E-10	1.07E-14	3.75E-14
2	2	8.81E-02	8.56E-02	8.81E-02	8.56E-02
2	4	2.46E-04	2.72E-04	1.42E-14	2.68E-14
2	6	8.12E-08	1.65E-06	1.42E-14	2.68E-14
3	2	1.38E-01	1.20E-01	1.38E-01	1.20E-01
3	4	6.30E-04	5.45E-04	2.84E-14	3.26E-14
3	6	1.26E-06	1.09E-06	2.84E-14	3.26E-14
4	2	1.29E+00	4.86E-01	1.29E+00	4.86E-01
4	4	1.79E-02	6.77E-03	2.77E-03	1.04E-03
4	6	1.49E-04	5.62E-05	5.68E-14	3.44E-14
5	2	1.10E+01	1.04E+00	1.10E+01	1.04E+00
5	4	2.61E-01	2.46E-02	7.33E-02	6.92E-03
5	6	4.22E-03	3.98E-04	2.27E-13	3.07E-14
6	2	2.26E-03	1.05E-01	2.26E-03	1.05E-01
6	4	2.23E-05	5.97E-04	2.77E-05	1.28E-03
6	6	6.02E-07	2.46E-05	3.36E-07	1.56E-05
7	2	1.37E-02	1.47E+00	1.37E-02	1.47E+00
7	4	1.21E-04	1.30E-02	1.66E-04	1.78E-02
7	6	3.58E-06	1.04E-03	2.36E-06	2.53E-04

1-D Told Us ...

- If your function is a polynomial, then polynomial interpolation works great! (Duh)
- If you are using Isoparametic Lagrangian Interpolation (ILI), then you should use a stencil wider than the order of the function.
- If the function is not a polynomial, then usually wider the stencil the better.
- When using ILI for flow variables, all this is interpreted as: Use an overset stencil width (N) wider than the order of the scheme.

All these points will apply to 3-D

Solvers

- Penn State (PSU) in-house code:
 - Density based finite volume
 - Roe flux based upwind scheme
 - > Up to 7th order accurate inviscid flux differencing
 - > Tailored for incompressible flows via preconditioning
 - Overset via DiRTlib, also supports block-to-block
- OVERFLOW 2.2 (OF)
 - Density based finite difference
 - Multiple spatial and time integration schemes
 - Up to 6th order accurate inviscid flux differencing
 - Widely used for compressible flows, also has low Mach preconditioning
 - Overset built-in (or XINTOUT), but has been modified to use DiRTlib in order to read high-order DCI files

DiRTlib/Suggar++*

 DiRTlib is a library that can be linked to OVERFLOW (or any equipped solver) that encapsulates overset interpolation and communication to the solver by making calls to solver interface routines that are specific to the solver.

Gets data from solver memory for use in donor interpolations

Puts data into solver memory for use at fringes

Fills solver IBLANK array to define holes and fringes

• Suggar++ is a general overset grid assembly code that provides a domain connectivity information (DCI) file to DiRTlib.



Relevant Features of DiRTlib/Suggar++

Suggar++

- Supports the specification of an *arbitrary* number of fringe layers as is required for the solver to maintain its high-order spatial discretization
- Can provide standard and high order (Lagrangian) weights

DiRTlib

- Supports arbitrary number of fringe layers
- Makes no assumption on the number of weights



Standard Lagrangian Interpolation (SLI) In Three Dimensions

$$f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\zeta}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\eta}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} P_{ijk}(\bar{x}) f(\bar{x}_{ijk})$$



$$P_{ijk}(\hat{x}) = P_i(\hat{x})P_j(\hat{y})P_k(\hat{z})$$

=
$$\prod_{l=0,l\neq i}^{N_{\xi}-1} \frac{(\hat{x}-x_l)}{(x_i-x_l)} \prod_{m=0,m\neq j}^{N_{\eta}-1} \frac{(\hat{y}-y_m)}{(y_j-y_m)} \prod_{n=0,n\neq k}^{N_{\xi}-1} \frac{(\hat{z}-z_n)}{(z_k-z_n)}$$

Note: Depending on orientation of the source points, *P* may be undefined.

 δ_{ℓ}

 δ_n

Isoparametric Lagrangian Interpolation (ILI) In Three Dimensions

$$f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\zeta}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\eta}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} R_{ijk}^{\xi}(\delta_{\xi}) R_{ijk}^{\eta}(\delta_{\eta}) R_{ijk}^{\zeta}(\delta_{\zeta}) f(\bar{x})$$

$$R_i(\delta) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{N-1} (\delta - j) \qquad \alpha_i = \frac{(-1)^{N+i-1}}{[N - (i+1)]! \, i!}$$

where δ is found by minimizing the functionals:

$$F(\bar{x},\bar{\hat{x}},\bar{\delta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\zeta}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\eta}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} R_{ijk}^{\xi}(\delta_{\xi}) R_{ijk}^{\eta}(\delta_{\eta}) R_{ijk}^{\zeta}(\delta_{\zeta}) \bar{x} - \bar{\hat{x}} = 0$$

This is the high order Lagrangian technique implemented in Suggar++.

Convecting Inviscid Vortex

(PSU: incompressible; OF: isentropic, M=0.2)

Description:

- Prescribe an initial inviscid vortex subject to a uniform cross flow
- Domain: Uniform background grid, with a series of different inset grids
- Boundary Conditions: Free stream, periodic BCs in flow direction
- Numerics: 3rd, 5th order accurate with N2, N4, and N6 overset interpolation



Domain width=10 DTPHYS=0.01

Steps for one cycle=1000

Purpose:

• Examine differences in accuracy (vortex dissipation and location) and between standard and high-order interpolation.











2D Cylinder in Cross Flow With Overset Patch, Code:OF

Description:

- Canonical Karman vortex shedding flow (laminar)
- Domain: Uniform background grid with and without oblique hole, covered by non-uniform overset patch grid. 3 fringe layers
- Numerics: WENOM, 2nd order time(10 Newton sub its), ADI P-C



Re_D = 150 M = 0.2 Laminar

Purpose:

 Compare the vortex street downstream of the patch, with the baseline vortex street without the hole/patch

2D Cylinder in Cross Flow With Overset Patch,



Conclusions:

 Grid obliqueness and stretching accentuates the need to use highorder interpolation with 3 fringe layers to preserve WENOM accuracy

3D Cylindrical Column in Cross Flow, Codes: PSU,OF

Description:

Column standing on solid surface



Re_D = 150 PSU: Incompress OF: M = 0.2 Laminar

Purpose:

• Examine changes in shedding frequency due to overset treatment



3D Cylindrical Column in Cross Flow

Intentionally introduced extraneous overset grids in order to create multiple overset boundaries



An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver

3D Cylindrical Column in Cross Flow



Frequency of oscillating lateral (v) velocity:5th Order, Overset Stencil=6 (O5N6):0.1389 Hz5th Order, Overset Stencil=2 (O5N2):0.1417 HzA 2% change with only several overset grids!

3D Rotor Hub, Code: PSU

Spinning notional scaled rotor tested in Water Tunnel at PSU. Free stream = 6.5 m/s

• Interest in flow structures at locations downstream where empennage would be







An Examination of the Effects of Overset Interpolation Accuracy in the Context of a High-Order CFD Solver

3D Rotor Hub Close-up



3D Rotor Hub Test



3D Rotor Hub CFD

Rotor in tunnel simulated using PSU and OF codes

- 99 Structured overset blocks
- 3rd order upwind bias
- Overset interpolation using N2 and N4



Wake Oscillating Vertical Velocity Comparisons



Far Wake

High-Order Overset is Great, But it

• Requires more overlap in the grid system (to keep donor qual=1)

➢ For 3rd order upwind bias, standard (N2) overset needs:

2 fringe layers, overlap=5

For 5th order (e.g. WENO), high-order (N6) overset needs:

3 fringe layers, <u>overlap=9</u>!!!!

- Requires more computer time (solver and Suggar++)
- Can be susceptible to under/over shoots (just like any polynomial interpolation can/will do)



Summary

• Using simple cases, it was determined that:

High-order interpolation better preserves flow structures across overset boundaries

- ➢ More fringe layers (3) with standard interpolation is *not* sufficient. High-order interpolation *and* more fringe layers is required to preserve accuracy of high-order numerics
- With increasing order of accuracy of the interpolation (N), a larger donor stencil is needed, which in turn requires more overlap in the overset gridding and more runtime requirements

Thank you !